BIOCHEMICAL CONTROVERSIES REGARDING THE USE OF VEGETAL PROTEINS IN PERFORMANCE ATHLETES
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Abstract: Consumption of animal proteins is increasingly contested by those who choose a vegetarian diet, but for athletes, protein quality is a key component in optimizing athletic performance. The purpose of this article is to provide a nutritional guide for the vegetarian athlete who does not have to give up nutritional preferences to achieve performance in sports, and well-informed counseling by respecting principles of biochemistry can overcome the already known deficiencies of vegetal proteins in certain amino acids. The second aim of this paper is to recommend methods to assess protein quality by consulting the recommendations of the world’s most important regulatory agency in the field of nutrition and food quality: the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). In conclusion, even though vegetal proteins have a lower anabolic effect due to their low digestibility and a limited quantity of essential amino acids (especially leucine) and that amino acids of vegetal origin are more likely directed towards oxidative metabolism than towards anabolic processes, recent studies present strategies (consuming higher amounts of vegetal proteins, dietary supplements with amino acids) through which a well-planned vegetarian diet can have similar benefits to omnivorous diet regarding stimulating endogenous protein synthesis.
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1. Introduction

It is considered that in the Ancient Rome, gladiators were vegetarians, being called “hordearii (barley-eaters)” (Longo et al., 2008) and the first questions regarding the impact of diet on athletic performance have been asked since the 1980s (Nieman, 1988) when several literature studies reported enhanced muscular endurance in vegetarians over non-vegetarians, but these results have not been fully confirmed in subsequent studies.

An optimal diet with a balanced ratio between components (45-55% carbohydrates, 20% proteins, and 25-30% lipids) must ensure the energy substrates and nutritional principles adapted to the age and the intensity of physical activity. For example, it was demonstrated that both carbohydrates and BCAAs (branched chain amino acids) influence phosphorylation of mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin), indicating that the ratio between nutrients can...
have a huge impact on cellular processes (Solon-Biet et al., 2014).

It is well documented that diet and the quality of food components play a key role in the performance and health of athletes (Phillips and Van Loon, 2011). Regarding athletic performance, in terms of energy metabolism of striated muscle, the main energy substrates are carbohydrates and lipids (Bjorntorp, 1991). In order to favor the increase of muscle mass, a hypercaloric, high protein, normal or moderately low glycemic and normal lipidic diet is necessary. Proteins are macromolecules indispensable to the human body which, in addition to their structural role, also have a functional, physico-chemical and energetic role (Bytomski, 2018). Intense physical effort favors muscle hypertrophy correlated with the protein intake and a well-balanced amino acid composition (Phillips and Van Loon, 2011).

In the case of proteins, in addition to the quantitative requirement, there is the issue of their quality, the amino acid content being one of the most important criteria to define the quality of proteins (Wolfe et al., 2016). Of the total amino acids, 8 are considered essential for the body: leucine, isoleucine, methionine, valine, threonine, tryptophan, phenylalanine, and lysine. Proteins that contain all the essential amino acids in the required ratio are classified as proteins of high biological quality or complete proteins (Hoffman and Falvo, 2004). Performance athletes can choose between animal proteins such as milk casein, eggs, meat, fish, vegetal proteins such as soy, rice, peanuts, or a combination of them.

Recent studies confirm that plant proteins are inferior to animal proteins both quantitatively and qualitatively, and as they have an important role in the diet of athletes, serving as a substrate for sports performance, it is a subject that must be carefully regarded (Rogerson, 2017). Therefore, athletes with a vegetarian diet clearly consume a lower amount of protein than the omnivorous athletes (Berrazaga et al., 2019) and consequently, there is a lower anabolic effect of plant proteins also involving their incomplete content of essential amino acids.

There is also conclusive evidence that a vegetarian diet is associated with a multitude of health benefits for athletes (Appleby and Key, 2012; Marsh et al., 2012). Foods of vegetal origin are rich in antioxidants (polyphenols), micronutrients (vitamin C and E) and carbohydrates that can enhance sports performance, but have the disadvantage of a poor content of certain nutrients such as vitamin B12, vitamin D, omega-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid, zinc, and iodine (Appleby and Key, 2012; Marsh et al., 2012; Clarys et al., 2014). On the other hand, the consumption of a high animal protein diet was associated with an increased risk of chronic metabolic diseases, especially cardiovascular diseases (Barnard et al., 2019).

Considering that vegetarian diets are more and more popular among athletes, because of ethical, religious, or ecological reasons, as well as for health purposes (Lynch et al., 2018), on the controversy regarding the quality of vegetal proteins but, also, that a well-planned vegetarian diet can fulfill nutritional needs of athletes, the purpose of this article is to assess the impact of the protein type (vegetable or animal) on sports performance. With this main purpose a review of the scientific literature using keywords: “vegetarian” AND “performance athletes” AND “proteins” on PubMed database was performed selecting 34 relevant articles for human nutrition.

2. Vegetal proteins vs. animal proteins for athletic performance

In order to improve athletic performance and ensure metabolic homeostasis, it is important to maintain the integrity of muscle mass. A net protein balance can be calculated
as a ratio between the amount of catabolized and the amount of synthesized proteins and this ratio depends a lot on physical activity, dietary intake of proteins and amino acids as well as on their quality and quantity. A high protein intake determined short time effects (post-prandial increase of protein synthesis) and longtime effects (increased muscle mass) (Berrazaga et al., 2019).

It is considered that both in strength sports (weightlifting, weight throwing, etc.) (Tinline-Goodfellow et al., 2020) and in endurance sports (marathon, cycling) (Kato et al., 2016; McKendry et al., 2019) protein intake should be higher than in a normal, healthy adult with moderate physical activity.

Most authors consider the optimal protein intake for adults to be 0.8 g/kg body weight (Campbell et al., 2007). Normally, in the case of a healthy adult, there must be a balanced nitrogen balance, the protein nitrogen ingested must be found entirely in the nitrogen eliminated in the form of urea. Athletes need higher protein content in the diet, the correct nitrogen balance ranges from 1.2 to 2.0 g/kg b.w. because the need of amino acids for endogenous protein synthesis is higher (Joy et al., 2013; Phillips and Van Loon, 2011).

Optimizing the protein intake for athletes to increase sports performance is a subject of continuous debate and requires a special evaluation of both the quantity and the quality of proteins (Berrazaga et al., 2019).

There are several methods to determine the quality of proteins. In 1989, the Joint Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) Expert Consultation on Protein Quality Evaluation recommended a series of parameters to evaluate the quality of proteins by assessing the nitrogen balance and considering to the degree of digestibility, net protein utilization and their biological importance (FAO/WHO, 2013).

2.1 Assessing the quality of proteins by protein digestibility-corrected amino acid score/digestible indispensable amino acid score

The Regarding digestibility, it is known that vegetal proteins are less digestible than animal proteins (FAO/WHO, 2013). This may be due to structural differences between the two types of proteins. The secondary structure of plant proteins consists of high beta chain content and a relatively low percentage of alpha-helix. It is assumed that in the gastrointestinal tract there is a resistance to proteolysis of vegetal proteins due to the high percentage of beta-sheet conformation, thus explaining the low digestibility of plant proteins (Carbonaro et al., 2012). Moreover, vegetal proteins contain non-starch polysaccharides or fibers that prevent the access of enzymes to the protein, thus leading to a decrease in digestibility (Duodua et al., 2003). The presence in plants of active compounds such as phytic acid or protease inhibitors can also influence the digestibility of proteins (Duodua et al., 2003). Vegetal proteins from processed foods present a higher digestibility rate (with 18%) compared to unprocessed foods (Wright et al., 2017).

The best parameter to characterize the quality of proteins in terms of digestibility is PDCAAS (Protein Digestibility-Corrected Amino Acid Score) and DIAAS (Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score), more recently introduced into practice. For a protein to contain all the essential amino acids PDCAAS should be no less than 1. As the use of PDCAAS has been increasingly criticized due to numerous limitations (Leser, 2013), DIAAS was introduced for quality assessment of proteins. Both scores prove the superiority of animal proteins over vegetal ones in terms of protein digestibility (Lynch et al., 2018; Leser, 2013).
However, these two parameters do not really show the anabolic potential of each type of protein, but rather a method to determine the minimum amino acids needed to prevent protein deficiency in the whole body. For example, the PDCAAS score for soybeans (0.91) is similar to that of beef protein (0.92). So theoretically both types of protein should stimulate approximately similar protein synthesis. However, a recent study by Philips et al. (2012) demonstrates the superior post-prandial anabolic effect of beef proteins compared to soybean. Further on, other studies compared soy protein isolates with milk proteins, as both have similar PDCAAS, but as presented in the previous study these two types of proteins have different anabolic abilities both during rest and post-exercise (Tang et al., 2009). Based on these data, it was recommended that vegetarian athletes should consume a higher amount of protein due to the poor digestibility of plant proteins (Kniskern and Johnston, 2011).

Literature data are controversial, a pilot study conducted over 8 weeks, of High-Intensity Functional Training, shows that both animal proteins (whey proteins) and vegetal (pea proteins) have similar effects upon body composition and muscle strength (Banaszek et al., 2019). Joy et al. (2013) and Mobay et al. (2017), in similar studies, using rice and whey proteins and whey protein and soy proteins, respectively, obtained no statistically significant difference between the groups (Joy et al., 2013; Mobley et al., 2017). Another clinical study conducted in 2015 on a larger number of participants compared to previous studies (n = 161) shows that the administration of vegetal protein supplements (peas) used in combination with resistance training could be just as effective as whey protein supplements in terms of increasing strength and muscle mass (Babault et al., 2015) while a recent review by Craddock et al. (2016) concludes that a vegetarian diet does not improve athletic performance but does not negatively influence it either (Craddock et al., 2016).

2.2. Assessing the quality of proteins by BCAAs content

For elite sports performance, a high-quality protein must contain all the essential amino acids and especially a proper amount of BCAAs. Most plant proteins do not contain all the essential amino acids or in the right amount, resulting in a decrease in their biological value.

BCAAs, leucine, valine and isoleucine, represent more than a third of muscle protein amino acids (Joy et al., 2013). It commonly accepted that essential amino acids and especially BCAAs induce an anabolic effect by stimulating protein synthesis, and their deficiency can limit the synthesis process (Wolfe, 2017; Joy et al., 2013). A study by Garlick et al state that BCAAs have the ability to stimulate protein synthesis in the same way as the other 8 essential amino acids (Garlick, 2005). High-doses of leucine can independently stimulate protein synthesis and inhibit degradation, this effect is potentiated by a small increase in insulinemia (Garlick, 2005; Wolfe, 2017). However, some studies recommend the use of leucine in the presence of other essential amino acids rather than alone (Wolfe, 2017), therefore it can be concluded that the optimal composition of proteins depends a lot on leucine and vice versa. Recent studies have shown that supplementation with 2-3 g of leucine or 0.05 g/kg b.w. increased protein synthesis (Norton and Wilson, 2009; Tipton et al., 1999). Above this threshold, there are no benefits in terms of maximizing protein synthesis. For example, consuming 40 g of egg protein (4 g leucine) did not show a higher increase in muscle protein synthesis than ingesting 20 g egg protein (2 g leucine) (Tang et al., 2009).
Plant-based proteins contain about 6-8% leucine, a reduced quantity compared to animal-proteins that contain about 8-11% leucine. There are also vegetal foods with a high content of BCAAs such as seeds, tree nuts and chickpeas, so the need for BCAAs could be met by consuming a variety of enriched proteins from plant foods (Rogerson, 2017). It was demonstrated that adding leucine to a plant-based diet (Norton et al., 2012) or consuming a larger amount of vegetal proteins increasing the percentage of leucine above the threshold level (Joy et al., 2013), the rate of protein synthesis is similar to a diet based on animal products. This hypothesis could support the increasing number of vegetarians among performance athletes.

A study by Yang et al. in 2012 reported a high rate of leucine oxidation after ingestion of 40g of soy protein, compared to the same amount of whey protein. So, it can be concluded that plant proteins are directed more towards oxidation processes than towards protein synthesis (Yang et al., 2012; van Vliet et al., 2015).

2.3 Other nutrients of vegetal origin and athletic performance

Although animal proteins are considered to have a better quality than those of vegetal origin, nowadays other aspects are also considered as vegetal food products (from quinoa, hemp seeds, etc.) also contain other nutrients responsible for improving sports performance among athletes.

A diet based on vegetal products decreases important parameters of lipid metabolism (hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, etc.), decreasing, therefore, the metabolic risk (Barnard et al., 2019) and, in the same time, increasing the maximum aerobic effort capacity by increasing VO₂ max (maximum rate of oxygen consumption) (Smith et al., 2015).

As vegetal products contain a high amount of carbohydrates, the major energy substrate during aerobic exercise, a vegetarian diet can increase sports endurance, both during sport events or on long term (Jacobs and Sherman, 1999).

Intense physical effort can generate free radicals that can then cause muscle weakness (Viña et al., 2000). In case of vegetarian athletes, a high antioxidant activity was observed due to the high amounts of vitamin C, E and beta-carotene in plants (Rauma et al., 1995), such as beets, garlic, onions, cherry juice (Barnard et al., 2019). Vegetarian diet also presents an anti-inflammatory effect. A 2017 meta-analysis, based on 18 previous studies, on vegetarian subjects, over two years, showed decreases in plasma C-reactive protein, as a marker of inflammation, thus suggesting that plant foods can reduce post-exercise inflammation and facilitate recovery (Haghighatdoost et al., 2017).

Conclusions

1. Literature data available so far emphasize that the "classic" nutritional principles don’t recommend a vegetarian diet for performance athletes as plant proteins have a lower quality than animal proteins, both in terms of content in essential amino acids and digestibility.

2. Recent studies show that vegetarian performance athletes only need larger amounts of vegetal proteins to provide the essential amino acids, especially leucine which is the biomarker of a protein’s involvement in endogenic anabolic processes.

3. Despite limited number of studies and study participants, literature data recommend a well-planned vegetarian diet, referring to certain nutritional strategies, in order to improve the quality of proteins (fortification of vegetal proteins with amino acids, consumption
of higher amounts of vegetal proteins) and, in the same time, highlight the presence of other compounds, specific for vegetal foods, beneficial in terms of sports performance. Still, this subject remains a controversial one.

4. This paper presents biochemical aspects of protein metabolism and tries to balance, as well as possible, the “pros” and “cons” of adopting a vegetarian diet in performance athletes, in whom the protein turn-over is high and protein malnutrition or deficiency in certain amino acids, especially leucine may represent an intrinsic risk factor for the athlete's health, along with other well-known factors: increased cardiovascular risk, hyperhomocysteinemia, low intake of omega 3 fatty acids, etc. The possibility of synergism between these risk factors remains a topic that can be further researched.
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